1	KAREN L. HANKS, ESQ.	
2	Nevada Bar No. 9578 E-mail: karen@hankslg.com	
3	CHANTEL M. SCHIMMING, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 8886	
4	E-mail: chantel@hankslg.com HANKS LAW GROUP	
5	7625 Dean Martin Drive, Suite 110 Las Vegas, Nevada 89139 Telephone: (702) 758-8434	
6	Attorneys for SFR Investments Pool 1, LLC	
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
9	BANK OF AMERICA, N.A.,	Case No. 2:16-cv-002258-GMN-CWH
10	Plaintiff, vs.	JUDGMENT BY DEFAULT AGAINST ALBERT C. SMITH
11	ALIANTE MASTER ASSOCIATION; SFR INVESTMENTS POOL 1, LLC; NEVADA ASSOCIATION SERVICES, INC.,	
13 14	Defendants.	
15	SFR INVESTMENTS POOL 1, LLC,	
16	Counter/Cross Claimant,	
17	vs.	
18	SMITH, an individual; and DAWN D. SMITH.	
19		
20	Counter/Cross Defendants.	
21		
22	This matter came before the Court on SFR Investments Pool 1, LLC's ("SFR") Motion fo	
23	Judgment by Default against Albert C. Smith ("Smith" or "Cross-Defendant"). Having considered	
24	the motion, including the declarations attached thereto, the Court makes the following findings o	
25	fact and conclusions of law:	
26		
27		
28		

Case 2:16-cv-02258-GMN-CWH Document 128 Filed 12/05/22 Page 2 of 2

1	1. On December 1, 2016, SFR filed a Cross-Complaint [ECF No. 26] for quiet title and		
2	injunctive relief against Smith, relating to real property located at 4029 Buteo Lane, North Las		
3	Vegas, Nevada 89084; Parcel No. 124-19-714-025 ("the Property").		
4	2. Smith failed to answer the complaint within the 21-day time limit set forth in FRCP 12.		
5	The Clerk of the Court appropriately entered a default against Smith on March 23, 2020.		
	3. Smith is not incompetent, an infant, or serving in the United States military.		
6			
7	4. SFR submitted credible evidence in support of its motion in the form of documents		
8	obtained from the Official Records of the Clark County Recorder and declarations made under		
9	penalty of perjury that demonstrate prima facie grounds sufficient to enter default judgment against		
10	Smith.		
11	NOW, THEREFORE, pursuant to FRCP 55(b)(2), having considered the evidence and		
12	made the foregoing findings of fact and conclusions of law, and finding good cause,		
13	IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Smith, any successors and assigns,		
14	have no right, title or interest in the Property and that SFR is the rightful title owner.		
15	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this judgment does not adjudicate SFR's claims against		
16	or the defenses of, any other party to this case. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of Court is instructed to close the case.		
17			
18	Dated this <u>5</u> day of December, 2022.		
19	ali de la companya della companya de		
20	Gloria M. Navarro, District Judge		
21	UNITÉD STATES DISTRICT COURT		
22	Respectfully submitted by: HANKS LAW GROUP		
23			
24	/s/ Chantel M. Schimming CHANTEL M. SCHIMMING, ESQ.		
25	Nevada Bar No. 8886 7625 Dean Martin Drive, Suite. 110		
26	Las Vegas, NV 89139 Attorneys for SFR Investments Pool 1, LLC		
27			

28